Illustrative sample. Not a client report. The client, the pack and every value quoted from it are synthetic. The rules, citations and verification dates are real.

VeriScan
by Quantyva

Hazelnut cocoa spread 350 g

· Glass jar with lid · German, English, French and Italian

Packaging labelling compliance review

Prepared for Nordheim Foods GmbH
VS-SAMPLE-CLEAR-003 · v1.0 · 5 October 2026

VeriScan Audit · 4 languages · German, English, French, Italian
Add-ons: France recycling rules · Italy recycling rules
Markets in scope: Germany · Austria · Ireland · France · Italy

`Artwork NRD-SPREAD-350-v4.pdf`
`SHA-256 39e98f97…5746347e`

Confidential

The answer

3
■ **Blocking**

2
◧ **Correct before print**

4
☐ **Advisory**

What the three words mean

■ **Blocking** — The pack as drawn should not be printed for that market.

◧ **Correct before print** — The likely outcome is a correction or a reprint, not removal from the market.

☐ **Advisory** — Nothing to fix now. Worth doing before it matters.

3 findings mean the pack as drawn should not be printed for France or Italy. The changes start on page 3.

First, the French ingredient list does not emphasise milk. Second, the Italian recycling line covers the glass jar but not the lid, which carries no material code. Third, the French Info-tri shows one element where the pack has two. The 2 other changes are the hazelnut percentage missing from the French list, and a nutrition table set at 0.99 mm against a 1.2 mm floor. A full spelling and punctuation pass adds 4 small corrections, listed in their own section.

We ran 71 checks. 25 conform. 22 do not apply to this pack. 12 could not be checked — see R7.

> These are counts, not a score. VeriScan does not issue a compliance score or grade. One blocking finding is not offset by any number of conforming checks.

Every change is on the following pages, one page per subject, one row per language. One re-check of your corrected artwork is included: see “What to do next”.

Change 1 of 5 reference F-01

France: milk is not emphasised in the French ingredient list ■ Blocking

The rule Every allergen listed in Annex II must be emphasised in the ingredient list — by font, style or background — so that it stands out from the rest, at every place it appears.

Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011, Article 9(1)(c), Article 21 and Annex II · rule EU-FIC-ART9-ALLERGEN-EMPHASIS · verified 2026-07-01 · eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02011R1169-20250401

Why The pack sets its allergens in capitals. German, English and Italian do so for hazelnuts, milk and soya. In French, hazelnuts and soya are in capitals but milk is in lower case, so a French reader is not shown that the product contains milk. An allergen with no emphasis in a market language is treated as blocking.

Language	On the pack now	Assessment	VeriScan proposed wording	Why this wording
DE	“HASELNÜSSE … MAGERMILCHPULVER … Lecithine (SOJA)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	All three allergens are set in capitals, the method used across the pack.
EN	“HAZELNUTS … skimmed MILK powder … lecithins (SOYA)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	All three allergens are set in capitals.
FR	“NOISETTES … lait écrémé en poudre … lécithines (SOJA)”	Milk not emphasised	“NOISETTES … LAIT écrémé en poudre … lécithines (SOJA)”	Capitals on the allergen word only, as for the two other allergens in the same list.
IT	“NOCCIOLE … LATTE scremato in polvere … lecitine (SOIA)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	All three allergens are set in capitals.

Austria reads the German text and Ireland the English text; both are correct as printed. The finding affects France only.

Change 2 of 5 reference F-02

Italy: the lid carries no material code and no collection indication ■ Blocking

The rule In Italy every packaging component that the consumer can separate by hand must show its material code, and consumer packaging must say where each component goes for collection.

Decreto Legislativo 3 aprile 2006, n. 152, Article 219(5) · rule IT-ART219-MATERIAL-IDENTIFICATION · verified 2026-09-21 · normattiva.it/uri-res/N2Ls?urn:nir:stato:decreto.legislativo:2006-04-03;152~art219

Why The pack has two components that separate by hand: a glass jar and a polypropylene lid, as listed in your component sheet. The Italian line gives the code and the collection for the jar only. The lid has neither. The paper label is glued to the jar, so it is not coded separately.

Language	On the pack now	Assessment	VeriScan proposed wording	Why this wording
IT	“IT: Vasetto GL 70 — Raccolta vetro. Verifica le disposizioni del tuo Comune.”	Lid missing: no code, no collection	“IT: Vasetto GL 70 — Raccolta vetro. Coperchio PP 5 — Raccolta plastica. Verifica le disposizioni del tuo Comune.”	One entry per separable component: code and collection by material family. The sentence about the Comune follows the Ministry guidelines.
DE	No Italian recycling line in this language	Not an Italian-market language	No change	The Italian requirement is met by the Italian line.
EN	No Italian recycling line in this language	Not an Italian-market language	No change	The Italian requirement is met by the Italian line.
FR	No French text line; the Info-tri is the French marking (see F-03)	Not an Italian-market language	No change	The Italian requirement is met by the Italian line.

Italy allows this information to be given entirely through a QR code or a website instead of on the pack; the pack must then tell the consumer how to reach it. On a four-language label that is often the simplest fix. The wording above follows a suggestion in the Ministry guidelines; the law fixes no formula.

Change 3 of 5 reference F-03

France: the Info-tri shows the jar only; the lid is sorted differently ■ Blocking

The rule Household packaging sold in France carries the Triman with sorting information beside it. Where parts of the pack are sorted differently, the information is given part by part.

Code de l'environnement, Articles L541-9-3 and R541-12-18 · rule FR-INFOTRI-CONTENT · verified 2026-09-25 · legifrance.gouv.fr/codes/article_lc/LEGIARTI000046011601

Why The Triman is present and sits beside the Info-tri, as required. The Info-tri names one element, the glass jar, and sends it to the glass bank. The lid is plastic and goes to the sorting bin, so it needs its own element. As printed, a French consumer is given no instruction for the lid.

Language	On the pack now	Assessment	VeriScan proposed wording	Why this wording
FR	Triman beside an Info-tri with one element: the jar, to the glass bank	Lid element missing	Add the lid as a second element with its own destination, the sorting bin. Use the approved Info-tri artwork supplied by your producer responsibility organisation.	The content of the Info-tri is the version approved for household packaging. We do not hold its element wording, so we describe the change and do not propose the words.
DE	Info-tri visible on the shared label	Not a French-market indication	No change	The French requirement is met by the French marking.
EN	Info-tri visible on the shared label	Not a French-market indication	No change	The French requirement is met by the French marking.
IT	Info-tri visible on the shared label	Not a French-market indication	No change	The French requirement is met by the French marking.

The French Triman and Info-tri marking is mandatory today and we audit against it. Its compatibility with EU free-movement law is being challenged by the European Commission before the Court of Justice (Case C-249/26, pending). Until the Court rules, the French rule applies.

Change 4 of 5 reference F-04

Hazelnut percentage missing from the French ingredient list ◧ Correct before print

The rule When an ingredient appears in the name of the food, or is emphasised in words or pictures, its percentage must be given — in the ingredient list or next to the name.

Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011, Article 9(1)(d), Article 22 and Annex VIII · rule EU-FIC-ART22-QUID · verified 2026-07-01 · eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02011R1169-20250401

Why Hazelnut is in the name of the food in all four languages and is pictured on the front. German, English and Italian declare 13 %. The French list names hazelnuts with no percentage. Cocoa, also in the name, carries its percentage in all four languages.

Language	On the pack now	Assessment	VeriScan proposed wording	Why this wording
DE	“HASELNÜSSE (13 %)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	The percentage follows the ingredient it qualifies.
EN	“HAZELNUTS (13%)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	The percentage follows the ingredient it qualifies.
FR	“NOISETTES,”	Percentage missing	“NOISETTES (13 %),”	Same position as in the other three lists. French sets a space before the per cent sign.
IT	“NOCCIOLE (13%)”	✓ Correct as printed	No change	The percentage follows the ingredient it qualifies.

The figure of 13 % was checked against the recipe sheet you supplied, dated 22 September 2026.

Change 5 of 5 reference F-05

The nutrition table is set below the minimum type size ◧ Correct before print

The rule Mandatory particulars must be printed with an x-height of at least 1.2 mm. The 0.9 mm allowance applies only where the largest surface of the pack is under 80 cm².

Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011, Article 13(2) · rule EU-FIC-ART13-LEGIBILITY · verified 2026-09-13 · eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02011R1169-20250401

Why The nutrition table is set at 5.8 pt, a measured x-height of 0.99 mm. The label measures 210 × 70 mm, which is 147 cm², so the allowance does not apply. The four ingredient blocks are set at 7.2 pt, x-height 1.23 mm, and pass.

Language	On the pack now	Assessment	VeriScan proposed wording	Why this wording
DE	Set at 5.8 pt · x-height 0.99 mm	Below 1.2 mm	Set the table at 7.0 pt or larger	7.0 pt gives 1.20 mm in this face; 7.2 pt matches the ingredient blocks and leaves a print margin.
EN	Set at 5.8 pt · x-height 0.99 mm	Below 1.2 mm	Set the table at 7.0 pt or larger	7.0 pt gives 1.20 mm in this face; 7.2 pt matches the ingredient blocks and leaves a print margin.
FR	Set at 5.8 pt · x-height 0.99 mm	Below 1.2 mm	Set the table at 7.0 pt or larger	7.0 pt gives 1.20 mm in this face; 7.2 pt matches the ingredient blocks and leaves a print margin.
IT	Set at 5.8 pt · x-height 0.99 mm	Below 1.2 mm	Set the table at 7.0 pt or larger	7.0 pt gives 1.20 mm in this face; 7.2 pt matches the ingredient blocks and leaves a print margin.

Method: live text; x-height taken from the embedded font’s flat-topped glyphs (486/1000 em) at the set size, with the label confirmed at 1:1 in the file. The four languages share one table, so one correction clears all four rows.

Worth knowing

These do not block a print run. They are changes that reduce future risk, or points we could not settle from the file you sent.

Reference	What it is	How serious
F-06	**Printed scheme mark — plan for February 2027.** The label carries a printed Green Dot. Under Article 12(9) of the EU packaging regulation, from 12 February 2027 a mark showing that the producer pays into a producer responsibility scheme may be given only through a QR code or similar digital marking. The European Commission reads this as the end of printed marks of that kind. The mark is not unlawful today. Plan to remove it, or move it to a QR code, before the first print run delivered after that date.	☐ **Advisory**
F-07	**Date and lot mark are not in this file.** Every language points to the lid for the date (“siehe Deckel”, “see lid”, “voir couvercle”, “vedi coperchio”). The lid print was not supplied. The label says “best before end”, a month-and-year form, so a lot mark is required under Directive 2011/91/EU. Send the lid layout or a photo of a coded lid and we will check both.	☐ **Advisory**
F-08	**Material codes — correct today; plan for 2028.** “GL 70” matches the glass jar. The code is mandatory in Italy and voluntary under EU law and in Germany. Planning only: Decision 97/129/EC, which sets the codes, is repealed with effect from 12 August 2028, and the harmonised EU sorting label applies no earlier than late 2028. Its design is not yet published, so there is nothing to draw today.	☐ **Advisory**
F-09	**Spelling and punctuation.** Every line of the four languages was proofread. 4 corrections are listed in the section “Spelling and punctuation”, in addition to the corrections already inside F-01 and F-04. None changes a legal particular; each is visible to a retailer’s quality team and to the consumer.	☐ **Advisory**

Spelling and punctuation

A proofreading pass over every line of the four languages. These are not legal findings: they do not block a print run. Items already corrected in a change above are cross-referenced, not repeated.

Lang.	On the pack now	Correction	Why
FR	“Ingrédients: sucre”	“Ingrédients : sucre”	French sets a space before a colon. The same list already does so after “émulsifiant”.
FR	“lait écrémé en poudre”	See F-01	Already corrected in that change.
FR	“NOISETTES,”	See F-04	Already corrected in that change.
DE	“trocken lagern.Nach dem Öffnen”	“trocken lagern. Nach dem Öffnen”	Space missing after the full stop.
EN	“natural vanilla flavoring”	“natural vanilla flavouring”	British and Irish spelling; the English text serves Ireland.
All	“www.nordheim-foods.example.”	“www.nordheim-foods.example”	A full stop after a web address can be read as part of it.

What we checked

We ran 71 checks across four languages and five markets — Germany, Austria, Ireland, France and Italy: the EU food information rules, the EU packaging rules (PPWR), the national packaging rules of Germany, France and Italy, the French language rule, and the nutrition cross-checks.

Data elements checked: the name of the food; the ingredient list and its heading; allergen emphasis; the hazelnut and cocoa percentages; net quantity; the date wording; storage conditions; the food business operator; country of origin; the nutrition declaration and its arithmetic; type size and interruption of the text; the language of every mandatory particular; the packaging manufacturer identification; the material codes; the Triman and Info-tri; the Italian sorting line; the printed scheme mark; and whether any packaging mark or claim misleads. 12 checks could not be performed; each one, and why, is at R7.

> Each market listed is marked as assessed or not assessed. An assessed market is one for which we hold a verified rule set, listed in Appendix A. Where a market is marked not assessed, no conclusion of any kind should be drawn about it from this report.

For Austria and Ireland the EU rules were audited; their national packaging rules were not assessed. The full statement is at R7.

What to do next

1. Set milk in capitals in the French ingredient list. Clears F-01. *Artwork studio.*
2. Add the lid to the Italian recycling line, or move the Italian information to a QR code. Clears F-02. *Brand owner, then artwork studio.*
3. Add the lid element to the French Info-tri, using the approved artwork. Clears F-03. *Brand owner, then artwork studio.*
4. Add “(13 %)” after hazelnuts in the French list, and apply the 4 spelling and punctuation corrections. Clears F-04 and F-09. *Artwork studio.*
5. Re-set the nutrition table at 7.0 pt or larger. Clears F-05. *Artwork studio.*
6. Send the lid layout, so the date form and the lot mark can be checked. Clears F-07. *Operations.*

> **One re-check is included in your audit.** Send the corrected file within 30 days of this report. We confirm each finding as closed or still open and issue version 2.0 of this report.

Before you rely on this

The full basis of this review, every check we ran, and the limits of what it covers are in Part Two, which follows.

What this report is. A written record of a labelling and marking review of one packaging artwork file, carried out against the rules listed at R3 and reported under the Terms of Service in force on the date of issue. It is a professional compliance opinion.

What this report is not. It is not legal advice, and it does not create a solicitor-client relationship. It is not an approval, a certification, or a guarantee of regulatory compliance. VeriScan is not an accredited inspection, testing or certification body and this report is not an accredited output. Responsibility for compliant labelling rests with the food business operator or brand owner.

What it is based on. The artwork file and information identified at R1, and nothing else. Where a statement could not be verified from what was supplied, this report says so rather than assuming it.

Confidentiality. This report and the information in it are confidential. It is prepared for Nordheim Foods GmbH and may be shared with a packaging supplier, printer, legal counsel or a regulatory authority as reasonably necessary. It may not be published, resold or sublicensed.

About the wording we propose. Where this report proposes wording, that wording is our professional recommendation for meeting the requirement cited beside it. It is drafted from the artwork and the recipe information you supplied, and the reason it takes that form is stated in the same row. It is not a certified translation and it has not been reviewed by a native-speaker legal reviewer in each market. Check every proposed wording against your recipe, your brand conventions and your own regulatory contact before it goes to print. Responsibility for the text printed on the pack remains with the food business operator or brand owner.

Part Two — the record

Part Two is the record of this review: what was examined, what it was checked against, every finding in full, every check performed, and the limits of the opinion given.

R1 · Report and item examined

Report number	VS-SAMPLE-CLEAR-003
Version	v1.0
Report template	CLEAR
Date of issue	5 October 2026
Dates of assessment	1 to 5 October 2026
Issuing body	Quantyva, trading as VeriScan · ROONRC.J2024000390307
Registered address	Str. Propilor nr. 66A, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Contact	contact@veriscanaudit.com · veriscanaudit.com
Location of assessment	Remote desk-based review of digital artwork files
Client	Nordheim Foods GmbH — a synthetic client, created for this sample
Service purchased	VeriScan Audit · 4 languages · €560
Add-ons	France recycling rules +€130 · Italy recycling rules +€130
Included in this fee	Every language assessed · the proposed wording for every correction, with the reason · a spelling and punctuation pass · the national recycling rules of Germany · one re-check of the corrected artwork within 30 days

Delivery	Standard — 48–72 hours, counted on working days
Rule sets applied	EU content; EU packaging (PPWR); France content and recycling; Italy recycling; Germany recycling; nutrition cross-checks
Analyst and reviewer	Cristian Lazar, founder and reviewer. See R9.
File name as received	`NRD-SPREAD-350-v4.pdf`
File format · size · pages	PDF/X-4 · 18,402,771 bytes · 1 page
SHA-256	`39e98f9738e5b1e18d5f4173e07a4eb10ab925ab2e7c6d0225924dbf5746347e`
Received (UTC) · via	2026-10-01T08:40:00Z · upload form on veriscanaudit.com
Client artwork version	v4
Label dimensions	210 × 70 mm wrap-around label, confirmed at 1:1 in the file
Packaging components	Two that separate by hand: a glass jar (GL 70) and a polypropylene lid (PP 5). The paper label is glued to the jar. Source: client component sheet.
Supporting documents	Recipe sheet (22 September 2026) and packaging component sheet. No lid layout and no PPWR documents were supplied.
Note on the item as received	Text is live and machine-readable. The die-line is present as a spot separation. The date and the lot mark are applied on the lid, which is not in this file.

The SHA-256 above is a fingerprint of the exact file assessed. Recomputing it on a copy confirms whether that copy is the file this report refers to. If it differs, the file has changed and this report does not apply. Under our NDA the artwork is deleted 30 days after delivery.

R2 · Scope

Languages assessed: German, English, French, Italian. No single reference language: the four versions were compared with one another. Markets in scope: Germany, Austria, Ireland, France and Italy.

This review covers the information printed on the packaging artwork identified at R1. It does not cover the composition, safety or provenance of the product itself, the physical packaging material, or any claim that cannot be assessed from the artwork.

English serves Ireland; Great Britain and Northern Ireland are not in scope. French is required in France, and every French particular was checked against that rule. Whether German, English or Italian is legally required in its own market is not assessed: the Regulation leaves the language to each state and no national language rule is held for those markets. Each is present on the pack.

This comparison establishes whether the language versions on the pack agree with each other. It cannot establish that the underlying recipe, values or declarations are correct.

R3 · Basis of assessment

Rule sets RB-EU-CONTENT, RB-EU-RECYCLING, RB-XCHECK-NUTRITION, RB-FR-CONTENT, RB-FR-RECYCLING, RB-IT-RECYCLING and RB-DE-RECYCLING, each card verified against its official source on the date shown in Appendix A.

Instrument	Citation	Version / date
Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011	Articles 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 30–35; Annexes II, VIII, XIV, XV	Consolidated 02011R1169 — 01.04.2025. Cards verified 2026-07-01 and 2026-09-13
Directive 2011/91/EU	Articles 1–6 — lot marking	Read 2026-09-21
Regulation (EU) 2025/40 (PPWR)	Articles 12, 14, 15, 18 and horizon articles	Applies since 12 August 2026. Read 2026-09-25

Instrument	Citation	Version / date
France — Loi n° 94-665; C. conso R412-7; C. env. L541-9-3, R541-12-17 to R541-12-24	French language; Triman and Info-tri	Légifrance, read 2026-09-25
Italy — D.Lgs. 152/2006	Articles 219 and 221	Normattiva (act updated to 11/08/2026), read 2026-09-21
Germany — VerpackDG	§§ 4, 6–7, 45–47, 62	gesetze-im-internet.de, read 2026-09-21

Label text was extracted from the artwork file and analysed with AI-assisted tools against the rule set listed above. Every resulting finding was then checked against the cited source text by the reviewer named at R9 before it was included in this report. No finding appears here that has not been checked by a person. AI tools are used as analysis aids; they do not decide anything reported here. See R9 for the scope of that review and what is not claimed for it.

This review reflects the rules as in force on 5 October 2026. Regulations change. Confirm the position again before printing and before market launch. Where this report states a market status, that status means only this: applying the rule set in Appendix A to the artwork at R1, findings of the severities defined at R4 either were or were not identified for that market. It is a statement about this review, not a determination by any authority, and it is not an approval to place the product on the market.

R4 · Findings — the full record

Blocking — the pack as drawn should not be printed for that market. Correct before print — the realistic outcome is correction, not removal. Advisory — no action needed now.

Ref	How serious	Subject	Markets	Rule	Verified
F-01	■ **Blocking**	France: milk is not emphasised in the French ingredient list	FR	EU-FIC-ART9-ALLERGEN-EMPHASIS	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-02	■ **Blocking**	Italy: the lid carries no material code and no collection indication	IT	IT-ART219-MATERIAL-IDENTIFICATION	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-03	■ **Blocking**	France: the Info-tri shows the jar only; the lid is sorted differently	FR	FR-INFOTRI-CONTENT	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-04	◧ **Correct before print**	Hazelnut percentage missing from the French ingredient list	FR	EU-FIC-ART22-QUID	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-05	◧ **Correct before print**	The nutrition table is set below the minimum type size	All five	EU-FIC-ART13-LEGIBILITY	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-06	□ **Advisory**	Printed scheme mark — plan for February 2027	All five	EU-PPWR-ART12-9-EPR-MARK-DIGITAL-ONLY	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-07	□ **Advisory**	Date and lot mark are not in this file	All five	EU-LOT-MARKING	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-08	□ **Advisory**	Material codes — correct today; plan for 2028	All five	EU-PPWR-MATERIAL-ID-MARKING	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05
F-09	□ **Advisory**	Spelling and punctuation	All five	EU-FIC-ART15-LANGUAGE	Cristian Lazar · 2026-10-05

A finding with no named verifier and date is not printed.

R5 · Coverage record — extract

One row per rule card applied. Four result values only: Conforms · Finding F-nn · Not applicable to this pack · Not assessed. Every “Not applicable” and every “Not assessed” carries its reason in the same cell.

Rule	Requirement	Scope	Result
EU-FIC-ART9-NAME-OF-FOOD	Name of the food	All five	Conforms — “hazelnut spread with cocoa” in all four languages
EU-FIC-ART9-INGREDIENT-LIST	Ingredient list, heading, order	All five	Conforms — heading and list in all four languages; order matches the recipe sheet
EU-FIC-ART9-ALLERGEN-EMPHASIS	Annex II allergens emphasised	All five	Finding F-01
EU-FIC-ART22-QUID	Quantitative ingredient declaration	All five	Finding F-04
EU-FIC-ART9-NET-QUANTITY	Net quantity and ℮	All five	Conforms — “350 g ℮” in the same field of vision as the name
EU-FIC-ART9-DATE-MARKING	Date of minimum durability	All five	Not assessed — the date is applied on the lid and the lid print was not supplied; the pointer wording is present in all four languages
EU-FIC-ART13-LEGIBILITY	x-height; not interrupted	All five	Finding F-05
EU-LOT-MARKING	Lot mark	All five	Finding F-07 (advisory)
X1 Energy recomputation	Annex XIV factors	All	Conforms — recomputed 2266 kJ / 543 kcal against 2266 kJ / 543 kcal declared
X10 Allergen consistency	Same allergen set in every language	All	Conforms — hazelnuts, milk and soya in every list. Emphasis: see F-01
EU-PPWR-ART15-MANUFACTURER	Manufacturer name and address	All five	Conforms — the brand owner’s name and postal address are on the label
EU-PPWR-ART39-DOC	EU declaration of conformity	All five	Not assessed — the PPWR documents check was not ordered; no document supplied
FR-TRIMAN-INFOTRI-PAIRING	Triman beside the Info-tri	FR	Conforms — Triman present, beside the Info-tri, on the label
FR-INFOTRI-CONTENT	Sorting information, part by part	FR	Finding F-03
IT-ART219-MATERIAL-IDENTIFICATION	Material code per component	IT	Finding F-02
DE-VERPACKDG-P4-MATERIAL-MARKING	Codes: voluntary, Anlage 2 only	DE	Conforms — marking is voluntary; “GL 70” matches the material. Not checked against Anlage 2, which is not transcribed
XCHECK-NATIONAL-RECYCLING-NOT-ASSESSED	National packaging rules	AT · IE	Not assessed — no national rule set held; declaration at R7

Extract of 17 rows. The full coverage record for this audit runs to 71 rows and is printed in a client report.

R6 · Market status

Market	Rule categories applied	Status
Germany	EU food information; EU packaging rules on the pack; German packaging rules	No blocking findings identified
Austria	EU food information; EU packaging rules on the pack	No blocking findings identified. National packaging rules: Not assessed — no verified rule set held for this market at the date of this report
Ireland	EU food information; EU packaging rules on the pack	No blocking findings identified. National packaging rules: Not assessed — no verified rule set held for this market at the date of this report
France	EU food information; EU packaging rules on the pack; French language rule; French packaging rules	Blocking findings identified — see F-01, F-03
Italy	EU food information; EU packaging rules on the pack; Italian packaging rules	Blocking findings identified — see F-02

A status covers only the rule categories named in its row. See the last paragraph of R3 for what a market status means.

R7 · What was not examined

The following were outside the scope of this review and were not examined: the composition, safety, microbiological status or provenance of the product; the accuracy of nutrition values against analysis or calculation; the substantiation of any claim that cannot be checked from the artwork; packaging material composition, substances of concern, PFAS content, heavy-metal limits, recyclability and packaging minimisation; the physical printed sample; print quality, colour and finishing; trade-mark and copyright clearance; and any market not listed at R2.

Items that fell out of scope for this specific audit, each with its reason:

- Date form and lot mark — applied on the lid; the lid print was not supplied.
- PPWR documents — declaration of conformity, technical documentation, PFAS and heavy-metal evidence: the PPWR documents check was not ordered and none was supplied. Nothing in this report implies they were verified.
- Registrations held by the company — Germany (LUCID), France (EPR identifier), Italy (CONAI) and the EU producer registers: not supplied; none has an on-pack duty.
- Germany — Anlage 2 of the VerpackDG (the permitted code list) is not transcribed; “GL 70” was not checked against it.
- Italy — whether Article 219(5) continues to apply as the PPWR takes over is a legal question for your counsel; this report states the Italian rule as it stands today.
- France — the approved element wording of the Info-tri is not held; element words were not checked.
- National language mandates in Germany, Austria, Ireland and Italy — no sourced national rule held.
- Nutrition and health claims, and sustainability-label rules — no verified rule card held.

Austria and Ireland — EU packaging rules audited; national packaging rules not assessed.

For these markets we audited the packaging requirements that apply under European Union law: Regulation (EU) 2025/40 (the Packaging and Packaging Waste Regulation), which has applied since 12 August 2026, together with the food information requirements of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 and the lot-marking requirements of Directive 2011/91/EU. The results of those checks are set out in this report and they apply in Austria and Ireland in the same way as in any other EU market.

We did not assess the national packaging rules of Austria or Ireland. European Union law permits a Member State to maintain or introduce national information requirements in addition to those in Regulation (EU) 2025/40. VeriScan does not currently hold a sourced rule set for Austria or Ireland, so this report makes no statement, in either direction, about whether either market imposes an additional on-pack marking, sorting instruction, deposit mark or producer identifier. The absence of a finding for Austria or Ireland is not a confirmation of compliance with its national rules. A national module for either market can be built on request.

R8 · Limitations and the basis of our opinion

1. This report is a professional compliance opinion based on our review of the artwork file identified at R1 against the rules listed at R3. It is not legal advice.
2. It is not an approval, a certification, or a guarantee of regulatory compliance. VeriScan and Quantyva are not an accredited inspection, testing or certification body, are not a notified body, and this report is not issued under any accreditation.
3. Our findings relate only to the file identified at R1, at the date of issue, and to the rules listed at R3. They do not extend to other artwork versions, other SKUs, other markets, or other matters.
4. Our analysis is based solely on the files and information you provided. We cannot verify ingredients, recipes, processes or supply-chain information that is not visible in the artwork.
5. Where we propose wording, we do so as a professional recommendation based on the artwork and the information you supplied, for the reason stated beside it. We do not provide certified translation and we do not warrant the linguistic register of any market. You remain responsible for verifying and approving every word printed on the pack.
6. Regulations change. You remain responsible for confirming the position at the time of printing and at market launch.
7. Final responsibility for compliant labelling rests with the food business operator or brand owner. Nothing in this report relieves any party of its own legal or contractual obligations.
8. Where a novel claim, a new product category or a high-stakes launch is involved, we recommend that this review is supplemented by advice from a qualified regulatory lawyer or consultant.
9. Our liability in connection with this review is governed by our Terms of Service in force on the date of issue, under which it is limited to the fees paid for this audit.
10. If you believe this report contains a factual error, tell us within 14 days of delivery. We will review it and, if an error is confirmed, correct and reissue the affected section at no charge.

R9 · Verification, sign-off and revision history

Analyst and reviewer	Cristian Lazar, founder, Quantyva
Date of sign-off	5 October 2026
Signature	Cristian Lazar — approved for release, 5 October 2026 (typed signature; no scanned image is used)
Version 1.0	5 October 2026 · issued

No regulated or third-party professional qualification is claimed for the reviewer role. Review consists of cross-checking each finding against the regulatory text cited for it and confirming that no available information contradicts it, before the report is approved for release. On this report the analysis and the review were carried out by the same person; the review is therefore not independent of the analysis, and this report should be read accordingly.

Template CLEAR · spec SPEC-Audit-Report-CLEAR-v2 with Amendments A, B, C and D · Terms of Service and NDA as published on veriscanaudit.com on the date of issue

Appendices. A · Coverage record — one row per rule card and check applied, with its result. B · Regulation extracts for each blocking and correct-before-print finding. C · File integrity record, as at R1. D · Glossary. E · Country recycling annex — the national packaging rule sets applied. Appendices are omitted from this sample.

Pre-Print Checklist

What to check on a food pack, in every language, before the artwork goes to print.

Fifteen basics that every language version must carry, and eight principles that hold across all of them. Write your language codes above the five columns and tick a box for each language.

A · The basics

Present and correct in every language. Rule references are to Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 unless another act is named.

	What	What to look for	Rule	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
1	**Name of the food**	A real name: legal, customary or descriptive. A brand or fantasy name on its own is not a name.	Art. 9(1)(a), 17	
2	**Ingredient list**	Headed with the word for “ingredients”. Descending order of weight. Additives by category plus name or E number.	Art. 9(1)(b), 18, Annex VII	
3	**Allergens**	Every Annex II allergen stands out from the rest of the list — bold, capitals or background — at every place it appears.	Art. 9(1)(c), 21, Annex II	
4	**Percentages (QUID)**	A percentage for every ingredient that is in the name, or shown in words or pictures.	Art. 9(1)(d), 22, Annex VIII	
5	**Net quantity**	In g, kg, ml, cl or l, in the same field of vision as the name.	Art. 9(1)(e), 23, Annex IX	
6	**Date**	“Best before”, “best before end” or “use by”, as the food requires, in the Annex X form.	Art. 9(1)(f), 24, Annex X	
7	**Storage and use**	Conditions stated where the food needs them, including after opening.	Art. 9(1)(g)	
8	**Business name and address**	An operator established in the EU, with a full postal address. A website alone is not an address.	Art. 9(1)(h)	
9	**Origin**	Given where the law requires it, or where the pack would otherwise mislead about where the food comes from.	Art. 9(1)(i), 26	
10	**Instructions for use**	Given where the food cannot be used properly without them.	Art. 9(1)(j)	
11	**Alcoholic strength**	“x % vol.” on drinks above 1.2 % alcohol by volume.	Art. 9(1)(k), Annex XII	
12	**Nutrition declaration**	Energy, fat, saturates, carbohydrate, sugars, protein, salt — per 100 g or 100 ml, in that order. “Salt”, not “sodium”.	Art. 9(1)(l), 30–35, Annex XV	
13	**Lot mark**	Required unless the date shows the day and the month. A “best before end” pack needs one. Preceded by “L” unless clearly distinguishable.	Directive 2011/91/EU, Art. 1–5	
14	**Type size**	x-height of at least 1.2 mm; 0.9 mm only where the largest surface is under 80 cm². Nothing hides or cuts through the text.	Art. 13	
15	**Language**	Every particular above, in a language easily understood in each country where the pack is sold.	Art. 15	

B · Principles that hold across every language

The basics, compared between languages.

☐	**One product, one name.** The name means the same thing in every language. No second descriptor on the pack contradicts it.	Art. 7, 17
☐	**Same ingredients, same order, same percentages.** Every list declares the same recipe. A difference between two languages means one of them is wrong.	Art. 18, 22
☐	**Same allergens, emphasised the same way.** In every language, at every occurrence — including the “may contain” line.	Art. 21, Annex II
☐	**One set of nutrition values.** The nutrient names come from Annex XV in each language, not from a translation of another language.	Annex XV
☐	**Words fixed by law are not translated.** Where a rule sets the wording — the cocoa statement on chocolate, for example — each language uses its own official version.	e.g. Directive 2000/36/EC, Art. 3
☐	**Nothing missing in one language.** A particular present in three languages and absent in the fourth is a finding in the fourth market.	Art. 15
☐	**Every language reaches the minimum type size.** Adding a language does not shrink the others below 1.2 mm.	Art. 13(2)
☐	**Each language keeps its own conventions.** Decimal comma or point, spacing before punctuation and per cent signs, capitals. Not law — but a retailer’s quality team reads it.	Convention

C · Packaging marks

Three checks on the recycling marks and codes.

☐	**A material code matches the material.** A code that is wrong for the material misleads the consumer about disposal, and that is not allowed.	Reg. (EU) 2025/40, Art. 12(8)
☐	**Recycling and sorting marks are national.** Check each country’s own rule. A material code is mandatory in Italy and voluntary under EU law. A mark copied from another pack is a common error.	e.g. D.Lgs. 152/2006, Art. 219(5)
☐	**No new EU label yet.** The harmonised EU sorting label applies no earlier than late 2028 and its design is not published. Do not redesign for it now.	Reg. (EU) 2025/40, Art. 12

D · Before you send the file for an audit

- ☐ The final artwork, at 1:1, with the die-line.
- ☐ The countries where the pack is sold.
- ☐ The recipe or specification, if you have it. With it, more can be checked.
- ☐ The packaging components and what each is made of.

> **What this checklist is, and is not.** A working aid for the person who releases artwork to print. It covers the rules that apply to almost every prepacked food. Categories with their own rules — chocolate, meat, drinks, food supplements and others — add to it, and so does each country’s own law. It is not legal advice and it does not replace an audit.
>
> Sources: Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 (consolidated 1 April 2025), Directive 2011/91/EU and Regulation (EU) 2025/40, as read by VeriScan between July and September 2026. Rules change; check the date of this version.

Want a second pair of eyes?

Free Pre-Check — we count the findings in your file and show you one in full. It is not an audit and it is not signed.
VeriScan Audit — every finding, the corrected wording in each language, a signed report and one re-check.
veriscanaudit.com